

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/653,655	09/02/2003	Paul O. Zamora	30817-1010	3423
5179	7590 05/24/2005		EXAMINER	
PEACOCK N P O BOX 2692	MYERS AND ADAM	NAKARANI, DHIRAJLAL S		
	QUE, NM 871256927		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/653,65	10/653,655 ZAMORA ET AL.		
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	,	Art Unit	
		D. S. Naka		1773	
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence add	iress
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time mafter SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within - Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD F ATE OF THIS COMMUN asy be available under the provision: IS from the mailing date of this com- specified above is less than thirty (it is specified above, the maximum so the set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve munication. 30) days, a reply within the statu statutory period will apply and wil y will, by statute, cause the appli	ent, however, may a reply utory minimum of thirty (3 ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this cor DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>04 March 2005</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action					
3) Since this	application is in condition	for allowance except	for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in a	eccordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Clair	ns				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	-35 is/are pending in the	application.			
4a) Of the	above claim(s) <u>10,14,17,</u>	18 and 28 is/are withdr	rawn from conside	eration.	
5)☐ Claim(s) _	is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	<u>-7,9,11-13,15,16,19-21,2</u>	3-27 and 29-34 is/are	rejected.		
· _	.22 and 35 is/are objected				
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restri	ction and/or election re	equirement.		
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specific	cation is objected to by th	ne Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawin	g(s) filed on <u>02 Septemb</u>	<u>er 2003</u> is/are: a)⊡ a	ccepted or b) $oxtimes$ o	bjected to by the Exam	iner.
• •	ay not request that any obje	• • •	-		
	nt drawing sheet(s) including			•	
11) The oath o	r declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. No	te the attached O	office Action or form PT0	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119				
a)□ All b)□	gment is made of a claim] Some * c)∏ None of:		-	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
_	ified copies of the priority			lication No	
	ified copies of the priority ies of the certified copies		• •	<u></u>	Stane
_ •	ication from the Internation	• •		cerved in this National C	olaye
• •	ched detailed Office action	•		ceived.	
· -			,		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of Reference	es Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)	
	•				
	son's Patent Drawing Review (I ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/653,655

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-16 and 19-35, and species stents as device of claim 9, hydrocyclosiloxane of claim 12 and polymeric material of claim 11, in the reply filed on March 04, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 10, 14, 17, 18 and 28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species and inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on March 04, 2005.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 9, 11-13, 15, 16, 19-21, 23-27 and 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hu et al (U.S. Patent 5,463,010) in view of Hostettler et al (U.S. Patent 5,662,960).

Art Unit: 1773

Hu et al disclose biomedical devices such as stents (col. 1, lines 27) coated with a plasma polymerized hydrocyclosiloxane monomer such as claimed in the instant invention (col. 10, lines 15-37 and col. 1, lines 20-25, col. 3, lines 48 to col. 4, lines 20). Hu et al fail to disclose pretreating polymer substrate surface using plasma comprising nitrogen containing molecules and oxygen containing molecules.

Hostettler et al teach coated biomedical devices coated with polyurethane-urea polymer hydrogel commingled with a poly (N-vinylpyrrolidone) polymer. Hostettler et al suggest pretreating polymer substrate prior to coating with plasma gas containing oxygen either pure or in air or, mixture of oxygen and one or more non-reducible gases and ammonia improving adhesion (col. 23, lines 30-35 and col. 25, lines 19-24). Hostettler et al also disclose pretreating substrate such as polyethylene by plasma using a mixture of oxygen and ammonia (col. 25, lines 8-50).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention made to utilize disclosure of Hostettler et al in the invention of Hu et al to pretreat polymer substrate such as polyethylene by plasma of a mixture of oxygen and ammonia to improve adhesion.

5. Claims 8, 22 and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims are allowable over the art of record because art of record does not teach or suggest pretreat substrate surface with a mixture of N_2O and O_2 .

Application/Control Number: 10/653,655 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

6. Receipt of Information Disclosure Statement filed September 02, 2003 is acknowledged.

All references have been made of record.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to D. S. Nakarani whose telephone number is (571) 272-1512. The

examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. S. Nakarani/af May 20, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER